UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				G0.1010.4.1010.1.110
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,731	04/16/2004	K. William Mopper	200955-9009-01	1367
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP Two Prudential Plaza			EXAMINER	
			WILSON, JOHN J	
	180 North Stetson Avenue, Suite 2000 CHICAGO, IL 60601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3732	
	,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant/a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action 0	10/826,731	MOPPER, K. WILLIAM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John J. Wilson	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	April 2007	•			
	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•			
Disposition of Claims	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 16-24</u> is/are pending in the	application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi	• •				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii iioiii consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 16-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	;				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The specification is objected to by the Examination (a) 51 to 100		– .			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- ,	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	u Onice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	,				
application from the International Bure	· .	i received in this ivational stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received			
	or the defined dopies had	10001100.			
Attachment(s)	_ ·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/826,731

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nemetz et al (6149430) in view of Jefferies (6093084) and Suh et al (6386865). Nemetz shows a dental bur used with a low speed hand piece for finishing work, column 6, lines 5-12. Nemetz does not show the specific use of the device with finishing work that includes a resin based material. Jefferies shows doing finishing work with a resin based material, column 10, line 44. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nemetz to include the use of a resin based material as shown by Jefferies in order to make use of well known materials for tooth repair. Nemetz does not state the torque used. The terminology "high torque" is a relative term that is broad and subject to interpretation. It is held that the inherent torque of Nemetz is within the range of "high torque". Further, it is noted that the claim is directed to using a bur, which is merely a tool that can be turned at a speed and torque by a dental drill instrument, as such, it is held that the shown bur is capable of being driven at a high torque and therefore can be called a low speed, high torque bur. The above combination does not show using a fluted bur or creating secondary to tertiary anatomy. Suh teaches using a fluted bur to obtain occlusal anatomy, column 18, lines 57-59. It would be obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 3732

skill in the art to modify the above combination to include using a fluted bur for occlusal anatomy as shown by Suh in order to best shape the restoration. To create secondary and tertiary anatomy when shaping the tooth is well known in the art, and as such, would have been obvious to the skilled artisan. Creating a smooth enamel-like finish is held to be a broad limitation because the term smooth is relative and open to interpretation, and as such, this step would be obvious in the use of the method above. To call the steps of contouring and smoothing a single step is merely naming known steps. The range of flutes used is an obvious matter of choice in the degree of a known parameter to one of ordinary skill in the art. As to claim 2-4, Nemetz teaches low speed as being less that 50,000 rpm, column 6, line 10, while Jefferies teaches finishing work at speeds of 50-10,000 rpm, column 17, lines 25-30. The specific range of low speed used is an obvious matter of choice in degree of a known parameter to one of ordinary skill in the art. This holding of obviousness is further supported because the shown bur is capable of operating at the rpm claimed if used with a dental drill that actually operates at such speeds. As to claim 4, the ability to maintain continuous pressure and constant speed depends on the degree of pressure applied by the user and is held to be an obvious matter of choice in the degree of pressure used. As to claims 7, 8, 22 and 23, Jefferies teaches that burs can be formed from steel or carbide, column 16, lines 40-47. As to claims 16-21 using more than on bur with increasing flutes to provide for increased level of fine finishing is well known in the art, and as such, using two burs is an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,731

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 9 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nemetz et al (6149430) in view of Jefferies (6093084) and Suh et al (6386865) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gerteisen (3872594). The above combination does not show using straight flutes. Gerteisen shows straight flutes in the drawings. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include using straight flutes as shown by Gerteisen in order to make use of know flute types to obtain the desired results.

Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on April 12, 2007 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of the 6,783,366 patent has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 12, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that there is no showing of a single step, however, it is held as stated above that any bur is capable of being driven at low speed and high torque. Using a bur for the steps described would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Wilson whose telephone number is 571-272-4722. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez, can be reached at 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/John J Wilson/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

jjw June 1, 2007